

Engineering & Design

Wetland Delineation Report

University Project

Colliers Engineering & Design Project Number: 21004202A

May 31, 2024

Prepared for:

NiSource Inc. 801 E. 86th Avenue Merrillville, IN 46410 Prepared by:

Colliers Engineering & Design, Inc. 1501 Reedsdale Street, Suite 302 Pittsburgh, PA 15233 Main: 412-618-5390 **Colliersengineering.com**



Table of Contents

EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0	PROJECT INFORMATION	2
2.0	INTRODUCTION	3
3.0	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	4
4.0	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	5
4.1	U.S. Geological Survey Map	5
4.2	Soil Survey	5
5.0	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY	7
5.0 6.0	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY	7 8
5.0 6.0 6.1	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS	7 8
5.0 6.0 6.1 6.2	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS	7 8 8
 5.0 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS Wetland and Surface Water Summary Vegetation Soils	7 8 8 8 8
5.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS Wetland and Surface Water Summary Vegetation Soils Hydrology	7 8 8 8 8 8 8
 5.0 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 7.0 	WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS Wetland and Surface Water Summary Vegetation Soils Hydrology WETLAND DELINEATION CONCLUSION	7 8 8 8 8 8 9 0

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	-	FIGURES
APPENDIX B	-	DATA FORMS
APPENDIX C	-	USACE ANTECEDENT PRECIPITATION TOOL



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On behalf of NiSource Inc., Colliers Engineering & Design (CED) conducted field delineations for the University Project within Franklin County, Ohio (hereinafter described as "Survey Corridor"). The Survey Corridor is located at latitudinal coordinates 40.010495 N and longitudinal coordinates -83.014039 W. The Survey Corridor is located approximately 3 miles north of Columbus, Ohio. Access to the Survey Corridor can be achieved from Ackerman Road, N Star Road, Kenny Road, Ridgeview Road, Brandon Road.

The Project Study Area is comprised of a 100-foot wide survey corridor centered on the proposed pipeline alignment for 2.15 miles. The Project Study Area or "Survey Corridor" includes the proposed installation of 2.15 miles of 20-inch pipeline and additional workspaces. The additional workspaces are located along the alignment in the central and eastern end of the alignment. The Survey Corridor was investigated to identify potential jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) and wetlands subject to Federal or State regulatory jurisdiction. The delineation methodologies developed by the USACE and the USEPA, as described in the *1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1* and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (Version 2.0)* and the subsequently issued USACE regulatory guidance regarding the identification of jurisdictional stream channels through the recognition of field indicators of an ordinary high-water mark within drainage features (Environmental Laboratory, 1987; USACE 2012; USACE 2005) were utilized during our investigation. The location and size of jurisdictional areas delineated are shown on the attached Figure 5. Delineation Results (**Appendix A**).

Based on the field investigations, two (2) stream features and one (1) wetland feature were delineated within the Survey Corridor by CED on March 2nd & 3rd, 2022 and May 19th, 2023. A total of 2,552 linear feet of perennial (R3) stream, 562 linear feet of intermittent (R4) stream, and 0.23 acres of palustrine emergent (PEM) wetland were delineated. It is CED's professional opinion that Stream Features "1" and "2", and the proximal wetland, are considered jurisdictional WOTUS since they drain into the Olentangy River and Scioto River. These stream features can be considered jurisdictional WOTUS since they connect and/or are directly connected to the Olentangy River and Scioto River. The location and size of jurisdictional areas delineated are shown on Figure 5. Delineation Results (**Appendix A**).



1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name	University Project					
Project Location	Ackerman Road, N Star Road, Kenny Road, Ridgeview Road, Brandon					
	Road,					
Municipality	Columbus					
County	Franklin					
State	Ohio					
Latitude/Longitude	40.010495 N / -83.014039 W					
Subject Property Size	+/- 3.7 mi/LF 100 feet wide survey corridor					
U.S.G.S. Quadrangle	Northwest Columbus OH					
Potential Jurisdictional	isdictional See Aquatic Resource Area Summary Table on Page 8					
Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS)						
and wetlands						
River Basin (HUC) & sub-	Upper Scioto Basin: 8 Digit HUC Code 05060001					
watershed						
Nearest Stream	Olentangy River and Scioto River					
Navigable Water Nexus	Stream and wetland features delineated on the Survey Corridor					
	would be considered jurisdictional WOTUS and wetlands since these					
	features drain towards the Olentangy River and Scioto River.					
Isolated Wetlands/Waters	No					
Present (Yes/No)						



2.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of NiSource Inc., Colliers Engineering & Design (CED) conducted field delineations for the University Project located in the greater North Columbus area within Franklin County, Ohio (hereinafter described as "Survey Corridor"). The Survey Corridor is located at latitudinal coordinates 40.010495 N and longitudinal coordinates - 83.014039 W. The Survey Corridor is located approximately 3 miles north of Columbus, Ohio. Access to the Survey Corridor can be achieved from Ackerman Road, N Star Road, Kenny Road, Ridgeview Road, Brandon Road. The Survey Corridor is bordered by residential homes, commercial properties, agricultural land, and forested areas. There are unnamed tributaries located within the Survey Corridor that eventually drain to Olentangy River and Scioto River.

The Survey Corridor was investigated to identify potential jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) and wetlands subject to Federal or State regulatory jurisdiction. According to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regulations described in Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 CFR Section 328.3 and 40 CFR Section 230.3) respectively, wetlands are "...areas that are inundated or saturated with surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions."



3.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Survey Corridor is located within the Upper Scioto River Basin (8 Digit HUC Code 05060001). Access to the Survey Corridor can be achieved from Ackerman Road, N Star Road, Kenny Road, Ridgeview Road, and Brandon Road. The western section of the Survey Corridor drains south towards the Scioto River, and the eastern section of the Survey Corridor drains east towards the Olentangy River. The Survey Corridor does not contain floodways or floodplains according to FEMA Floodplain Panel Maps 39049C0164K, 39049C0168K, and 39049C0169K (eff. 6/17/2008). The Survey Corridor contains approximately 20% forested communities and 80% residential properties and commercial properties. The forested areas are comprised of a mixture of oak, tulip poplar, red maple, pine, and sweetgum species that dominate the canopy layer. The Olentangy River is located east of the Survey Corridor and Scioto River is located west of the Survey Corridor and drain north to south. Unnamed tributaries can be found in the western and eastern sections of the Survey Corridor eventually discharging into the Olentangy River and Scioto River.



4.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Prior to on-site field investigations, several publicly available sources of information were reviewed to determine the likelihood of wetlands and surface waters occurring within Survey Corridor. These mapping resources generally include, but are not limited to, the United States Geological Survey (USGS) maps (Figure 1. Project Location Map, **Appendix A**), the U.S. Department of Agriculture - Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soils database (Figure 2. Soil Series Map, **Appendix A**), National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) database (Figure 3. National Wetlands Inventory Map, **Appendix A**).

4.1 U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MAP

The Survey Corridor appears on the *Northwest Columbus OH* Quadrangle USGS Maps (Figure 1. Project Location Map, **Appendix A**) and is depicted as developed properties which contains approximately 20% forested areas and 80% residential and commercial properties. The USGS also depicts unnamed tributaries located within western and eastern sections. Residential and forested areas are located within the vicinity of the Survey Corridor to the north, south, east, and west. Elevations at the Survey Corridor range from 750 to 950 feet above mean sea level (MSL) based on the USGS map.

4.2 SOIL SURVEY

The NRCS Web Soil Survey depicts the following Table Soil Series map units within the Survey Corridor and provides a description of the properties and qualities of each soil:

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Drainage Class	Runoff Class	Depth to Water Table
CfB	Celina-Urban land complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Moderately Well Drained	Medium	About 18 to 36 inches
CrB	Crosby silt loam, Southern Ohio Till Plain, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Somewhat Poorly Drained	Medium	About 6 to 24 inches
CsA	Crosby-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Somewhat Poorly Drained	Medium	About 6 to 24 inches
CsB	Crosby-Urban land complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Somewhat Poorly Drained	High	About 12 to 36 inches
Ко	Kokomo silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Very Poorly Drained	Negligible	About 0 to 6 inches

Table 1. Soils Section for University Project



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Drainage Class	Runoff Class	Depth to Water Table
Ut	Udorthents-Urban	-	-	More than 80
	land complex,			inches
	gently rolling			

Of the six (6) mapped soil units in the Survey Corridor, one (1) soil unit (Kokomo silty clay loam) is listed as being hydric.



5.0 WETLAND & SURFACE WATER DELINEATION METHODOLOGY

The wetland delineation methodologies developed by the USACE and the USEPA, as described in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1 and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: *Midwest Region* (Version 2.0) and subsequently issued USACE regulatory guidance regarding the identification of jurisdictional stream channels through the recognition of field indicators of an ordinary high-water mark within drainage features (Environmental Laboratory, 1987; USACE 2012; USACE 2005), were utilized during our investigation. These methodologies generally involve the review of three parameters (vegetation, soils, hydrology) when making a wetland or non-wetland determination.

The Survey Corridor was walked, community types were characterized, and wetland and surface water boundaries were flagged. Sample stations were established along the boundaries to examine vegetation, soils, and hydrology. Using this data, boundaries were established based on changes in vegetation, soils, hydrology, and surface water characteristics.



6.0 WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER DELINEATION RESULTS

6.1 WETLAND AND SURFACE WATER SUMMARY

On-site field investigations of the Survey Corridor were conducted by CED on March 2nd & 3rd, 2022 and May 19, 2023. The on-site delineation did verify the presence of surface waters within Survey Corridor. A summary of the aquatic resources identified within the Survey Corridor is provided below in Table 2: Aquatic Resource Summary. The location and size of the aquatic resources delineated are shown on Figure 5. Delineation Results (**Appendix A**).

Aquatic Resource	PFO Area (AC)	PEM Area (AC)	Aquatic Resource	PUB Area (AC)	Aquatic Resource	R3 Length (LF)	R4 Length (LF)	
W-1	-	0.23	-	-	S-1	254	-	
-	-	-	-	-	S-2	2298	562	
Total Wetlands by Class (AC)	-	0.23	Total Pond	-	Total Stream by Class (LF)	2,552	562	
Total Wetlands (AC)	ls 0.23		0.23 (AC)			Total Stream (LF)	3,11	14

Table 2: Aquatic Resource Area Summary Table

Note 1: Cowardin Class PEM = palustrine emergent wetland, R3 = perennial stream, R4 = intermittent stream

6.2 VEGETATION

One (1) wetland was observed within the project boundaries. Representative plant species within the wetland areas include the following: red maple (*Acer rubrum*), American elm (*Ulmas americana*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera mackaii*), spotted touch-me-not (*Impatiens capensis*), Canadian clearweed (*Pilea pumila*), common blue violet (*Viola papilionacea*), jumpseed (*Persicaria virginiana*), yellow iris (*Iris psuedacorus*), poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*), and rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*).

Representative plant species within the upland areas include the following: northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), sugar maple, American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), amur honeysuckle, eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*), and poison ivy.

6.3 SOILS

Hydric soils are defined as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part of the soil (USDA 2003). The soils in the wetland areas were variable, but for the most part, exhibited low chroma matrices with redoximorphic features. Soils within the wetland areas on-site exhibit low chroma matrix colors and concentrations that are characteristic of reducing anaerobic conditions associated within the formation of hydric soils. Wetland soils were typically black (10YR 2/1), dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6), and brown (10YR 5/2) within the upper 16 inches. Jurisdictional soils were generally underlain dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6), and brown (10YR 5/2) down to 16 inches. Redox concentrations greater than 3% were observed between 0 and 16 inches below soil surface and are typically dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6). Soils within jurisdictional areas meet the F3 Depleted Matrix hydric



soil indicator. Textures within the jurisdictional areas included loam. The upland soils were dark brown (10YR 3/3) within the upper 16 inches. Soil textures included loam.

6.4 HYDROLOGY

On-site field investigations of the Survey Corridor were conducted by CED on March 2nd & 3rd, 2022 and May 19, 2023. The USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) was utilized for the Survey Corridor and is provided in **Appendix C**. Based the USACE APT tool, the on-site field investigations were conducted in "Wetter than Normal" precipitation conditions with a 30-day rolling total during the March 2nd & 3rd, 2022 investigations. The on-site field investigations were conducted in "Drier than Normal" precipitation conditions for the May 19th, 2023 investigation.

Indicators of wetland hydrology are largely absent in upland areas.



7.0 WETLAND DELINEATION CONCLUSION

Two (2) stream features and one (1) wetland feature were delineated within the Survey Corridor by CED on March 2nd & 3rd, 2022 and May 19th, 2023. A total of 2,552 linear feet of perennial (R3) stream, 562 linear feet of intermittent (R4), and 0.23 acres of palustrine emergent (PEM) wetland were delineated. Field investigations were conducted in accordance with the manuals, methodologies, and regulatory guidance procedures as stated in Section 5.0 Wetland and Surface Water Delineation Methodology.

It is CED's professional opinion that Stream Features "1" and "2" are considered jurisdictional WOTUS since they drain into the Olentangy River and the Scioto River. The wetland can be considered jurisdictional WOTUS since it drains directly to the unnamed tributary to the Olentangy River and the Scioto River. The location and size of jurisdictional areas delineated are shown on Figure 5. Delineation Results (**Appendix A**).



8.0 REFERENCES

- Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, and E. T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual" Technical Report Y-87-1. US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.
- Environmental Laboratory. 2012. "Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0)". Technical Report ERDC/EL TR-09-19. US Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, Miss.
- Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineation Jurisdictional Wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service, Washington D.C. Cooperative technical publication. 76 pp. plus appendices.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2019. Flood Map Service Center. https://msc.fema.gov/portal.

- National List of Hydric Soils 2010, United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service, https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/use/hydric/
- *Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OhioEPA)*. (2022, February 25). Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI). https://ohioepa.custhelp.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/470/%7E/qualitative-habitat-evaluation-index-%28qhei%29

United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm

- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wetlands Inventory http://www.fws.gov/nwi/Overview.html
- USDA, NRCS. 2003. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 5.01, G.W. Hurt, P.M. Whited, and R.F. Pringle (eds.). USDA, NRCS in cooperation with the National technical Committee for Hydric Soils, Fort Worth, TX.



Appendix Appendix A | Figures















V-1	S-2					
Prepared For:		Project Data	Delir	neation Re	sults	Figure 5 - Page 2
NiSource Inc. 801 E. 86th Avenue Merrillville, IN 46410 Prepared By: Pittsburgh Office Colliers	W S S 0 0.03 0.05 0.1 Miles 0 0.05 0.1 0.2	Workspaces Survey Data Culvert Stormwater Erosions		University		
Pittsburgh, PA 15233 Engineering: 610.254,9140 & Design www.colliersengineering.com	Kilometers Scale: 1:7,000	PEM Wetland (W-#) Intermittent Stream (S-#) Perennial Stream (S-#)	Fra Date Saved: 5/31/2024	CED PN:21004202A	Dhio Revised by:MF	一日日朝



Appendix B | Data Forms

Wetland Delineation Report | May 31, 2024

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Midwest Region

Project/Site: NCHP	City/County: Columbus / F	ranklin	Sampling Date: 5/19/23
Applicant/Owner: NiSource/Campos		State: OH	Sampling Point: W001-PEM
Investigator(s): REK	Section, Township, Range:	1N R18W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Slight depression	Local relief (conc	ave, convex, none):	Concave
Slope (%): 5 Lat: 40.018808	Long: -83.044274		Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Crosby silt loam, Southern Ohio Till Plain	, 2-6% Slopes	NWI classific	ation: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes No	(If no, explain in R	emarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" p	resent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If needed,	explain any answer	rs in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	a sampling point locat	ons. transects	, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X No				
Remarks: PEM rep to W001. Taken in forested area, wet understory with upland canopy coverage							

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

20,720	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 50x50)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Acer rubrum	15	<u>N</u>	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
2. Ulmus americana	20	Y	FACW	Total Number of Deminent
3. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	Ν	FACW	Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
4. Acer saccharum	15	N	FACU	(-,
5. Populus deltoides	20	Y	FAC	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC: 100 (A/B)
	80	= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15X15)				Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Lonicera mackaii*	<u>(15)</u>		NL*	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
2. Franxinus pennsylvanica	10	Υ	FACW	OBL species x 1 =
3				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
575	10	= Total Co	ver	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	. -			Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Impatiens capensis	35	Y	FACW	
2. Pilea pumila	60	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A =
3. Viola papilionacea	(5)	-	NL*	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4. Persicaria virginiana	2	Ν	FAC	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5. Iris pseudacorus	3	N	OBL	\ge 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6. Toxicodendron radicans	10	Ν	FAC	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7. Leersia oryzoides	15	Ν	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
8.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
9.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
10.				
	125	= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		- 10101 00	VCI	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. Absent				Hydrophytic
2.				Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes X No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)	10101 00		1
*Not listed in Midwest plant list, not included in hvd	ric veg ca	lcs		
	U I			

Upland trees in canopy layer, outside of wetland boundaries.

SOIL

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	m the absence of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Featur	es		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc ²	Texture Remarks
0.8	10 YR 2/1	100					Loam
8-16	10 YR 2/1	90	10 YR 4/6	8	c	M/PL	Loam
——			10 VP 5/2		- <u> </u>	<u></u>	·
			10 11 3/2				· ·
							· ·
				_			
							·
1							2
Type: C=C	oncentration, D=De	pletion, RM	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Maske	ed Sand Gr	ains.	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soll	Indicators:			~			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solls :
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy	Gleyed M	latrix (S4)		Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
Black H	pipedon (AZ)		Sandy	Redox (S	(56)		Dark Surface (S7)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Suippe	Mucky M	(30) lineral (F1)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TE12)
Stratified	d Lavers (A5)		Loamy		Aatrix (F2)		Other (Explain in Remarks)
2 cm Mu	uck (A10)		Deplete	ed Matrix	(F3)		
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	X Redox	Dark Sur	face (F6)		
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	(,	Deplete	ed Dark S	Surface (F7)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy M	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Redox	Depressi	ons (F8)	-	wetland hydrology must be present,
5 cm Mu	ucky Peat or Peat (S	3)					unless disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if observed)):					
Туре:							
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes No
Remarks:							
HYDROLO	GY						
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators	:					
Primary India	cators (minimum of	one is requi	red; check all that a	pply)			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface	Water (A1)		🗙 Water-Sta	ined Lea	ves (B9)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		Aquatic Fa	auna (B1	3)		🔀 Drainage Patterns (B10)
X Saturatio	on (A3)		True Aqua	atic Plant	s (B14)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water M	larks (B1)		Hydrogen	Sulfide (Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sedimer	nt Deposits (B2)		X Oxidized	Rhizosph	eres on Liv	ing Roots	(C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift De	posits (B3)		Presence	of Reduc	ed Iron (C	4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)		Recent Irc	on Reduc	tion in Tille	d Soils (C	(6) \underline{X} Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Dep	posits (B5)		Thin Mucl	k Surface	(C7)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundati	on Visible on Aerial	Imagery (B	7) Gauge or	Well Dat	a (D9)		
X Sparsely	y Vegetated Concav	e Surface (B8) Other (Ex	plain in R	emarks)		
Field Obser	vations:		, <u> </u>				
Surface Wat	er Present?	Yes	No × Depth (in	ches):			
Water Table	Present?	Yes	No X Depth (in	iches).		_	
Saturation P	recent?	Xoo X	No Depth (in	(choc): 8	•	— Wot	
(includes car	pillary fringe)	165		iches). <u>o</u>			
Describe Re	corded Data (stream	n gauge, mo	onitoring well, aerial	photos, p	revious in	spections),	, if available:
Remarks:							
linear drain	ge feature inlet to	wetland					
1							

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Midwest Region

Project/Site: NCHP		City/County: Columbus / Franklin Sampling Date: 5/19/23			
Applicant/Owner: NiSource/Campos		State: OH Sampling Point: W001-UPL			
Investigator(s): REK		Section, To	ownship, Ra	nge: T1N R18W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat			Local relief	(concave, convex, none):	None
Slope (%): 0 Lat: 40.018832		Long: -83	.044912	·,	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Man Unit Name: Crosby silt loam, Southern Ohi	o Till Plain,	2-6% Slo	opes	NWI classific	ation: None
	his time of vo	ar2 Vac	X No	/If no, ovaloin in P	amorka)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for t	nis ume or ye	arr res		(if no, explain in R	emarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly	disturbed?	Are	Normal Circumstances" p	present? Yes <u>/ No</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	_ naturally pro	oblematic?	(If ne	eded, explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map		sampiir	ng point i	ocations, transects	, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes		ls t	he Sampled	Area	
Hydric Soll Present? Yes		wit	hin a Wetlar	nd? Yes	No X
Remarks [.]					
Upland rep to W001. Taken upslope of wetland,	in woodlot				
	Absolute	Dominan	t Indicator	Dominance Test work	sheet [.]
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30x30)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant S	pecies
1. Quercus rubra		<u> </u>	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW,	or FAC: (A)
2. Acer saccharum		- <u>Y</u>	FACU	Total Number of Domin	ant
3. Fagus grandifolia		N		Species Across All Stra	ta: <u>3</u> (B)
4				Percent of Dominant St	acies
5				That Are OBL, FACW,	or FAC: <u>33%</u> (A/B)
0	80	= Total Co	over	Broyalanaa Indax war	kabaati
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	80	_	NI *	Total % Cover of	KSneet.
	<u>5</u>	- -		OPL enceice	
	_ <u>5</u>	- <u>T</u>			X I =
3		·		FAC vv species	$x_2 = \frac{60}{60}$
4				FAC species	x 3 = x 4 = 340
5		- Tatal 0a			X4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: ^{5x5})	<u> </u>		over	Column Totals: 105	$(A) = \frac{400}{400}$ (B)
1. Lonicera mackaii	15	-	NL*		(N) (D)
2. Toxicodendron radicans	20	Y	FAC	Prevalence Index	= B/A =
3				Hydrophytic Vegetatio	on Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for H	Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				2 - Dominance Tes	it is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Inde	ex is ≤3.0 ¹
7				4 - Morphological A	Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
8				data in Remarks	s or on a separate sneet)
9				Problematic Hydro	phytic Vegetation (Explain)
10				Indiantes of builds	and walland builted a second
30x30	20	= Total Co	over	be present, unless distu	i and wetland hydrology must urbed or problematic.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)					
				Hydrophytic	
2				Present? Ye	s No_X
Demontos (Includo photo prochara hara area a		= Total Co	over		
*Not listed in Midwest plant list, not included in hy	ydric veg ca	alcs			

SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator o	or confirm the absence of indicators.)
Depth Matrix Redox Features	
(inches) Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type ¹	Loc ² Texture Remarks
0-12 <u>10 YR 3/3</u> <u>100</u>	Loam
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grai	ins. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators:	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
Histic Epipedon (A2) Sandy Redox (S5)	Dark Surface (S7)
Black Histic (A3) Stripped Matrix (S6)	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Other (Explain in Remarks)
2 cm Muck (A10) Depleted Matrix (F3)	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vocatation and
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Beday Depressions (F8)	wetland bydrology must be present
5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)	unless disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	
Type:	
Depth (inches):	Hydric Soil Present? Yes NoX
Remarks:	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Water Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Cravifish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Ovidized Rhizospheres on Livir	ng Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	EAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Denth (inches)	
Water Table Present? Ves No X Depth (inches):	-
Value Fable Freedomt? Festing Property Saturation Property Value No X Depth (inclus).	- Wetland Hydrology Present2 Vec No. X
(includes capillary fringe)	_ wettand hydrology Present? Tes NO
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if available:

N/A

Remarks: No primary or secondary indicators observed

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Midwest Region

Project/Site: NCHP	City	/County: Columbu	s / Franklin	Sampling Date: 5/	19/23
Applicant/Owner: NiSource/Campos			State: OH	Sampling Point: S	TP001
Investigator(s): <u>REK</u>	Sec	tion, Township, Ran	ge: <u>T1N R18W</u>		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>Flat</u>		Local relief (concave, convex, none):	None	
Slope (%): 0 Lat: 40.018755	Lon	ıg: <u>-83.041464</u>		Datum: NAD 83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Crosby silt loam, Southern Ohio	Till Plain, 2-6	6% Slopes	NWI classifica	ation: <u>None</u>	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	time of year?	Yes No	(If no, explain in Re	emarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology si	gnificantly dist	urbed? Are "N	Normal Circumstances" p	resent? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology na	aturally probler	matic? (If nee	eded, explain any answer	rs in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map	showing sa	mpling point lo	cations, transects,	, important fea	tures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: Reprsentative upland habitat - taken in woodlot betom	x x x tween reside	Is the Sampled within a Wetlan ential and agricult	Area d? Yes ure uses	<u>No X</u>	
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30x30</u>) 1 Quercus rubra	Absolute Do % Cover Sp 60 Y	ominant Indicator pecies? <u>Status</u> FACU	Dominance Test works Number of Dominant Sp That Are OBL_EACW_c	sheet: becies or FAC: 1	(A)
2. Ulmus rubra	25 Y	FAC	Total Number of Demin		
3. Fagus grandifolia	<u>10 N</u>	FAC	Species Across All Strat	ta: <u>2</u>	(B)
4. Acer saccharum 5	$\frac{5}{100}$ $\frac{N}{7}$	FACU	Percent of Dominant Sp That Are OBL, FACW, c	pecies or FAC: 50%	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15x15)	=1	otal Cover	Prevalence Index work	ksheet:	
1. Lonicera mackaii	90 -	NL*	Total % Cover of:	Multiply	by:

5		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50% (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15x15)	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Lonicera mackaii	<u>90 - NL*</u>	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2		OBL species x 1 =
3		FACW species x 2 =
4		_ FAC species 35 x 3 = 105
5		FACU species <u>65</u> x 4 = <u>260</u>
EVE	0 = Total Cover	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5x5)		Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>365</u> (B)
2		Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.65</u>
3		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
8		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
9		-
10 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ^{30x30})	= Total Cover	 ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. Absent		Hydronbytic
2		Vegetation
	= Total Cover	Present? Yes NoX
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate *Not listed in Midwest plant list, not included in hyden hyd	sheet.) dric veg calcs	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dept	h needed to docur	nent the indicator	or confirm	the absence of indic	ators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> Type ¹	_Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR 3/3	100				Loam	
<u> </u>							
———							
17.00						2	
Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Dep	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, Ma	S=Masked Sand Gr	ains.	Location: PL=PC	bre Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I			0 du /				
Histosol	(A1) Jipodon (A2)		Sandy C	Bieyed Matrix (54)		Coast Prairie R	
Black His	stic (A3)		Stripper	Matrix (S6)			se Masses (F12)
Hvdroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy	Mucky Mineral (F1)		Very Shallow D)ark Surface (TF12)
Stratified	Lavers (A5)		Loamy	Gleved Matrix (F2)		Other (Explain	in Remarks)
2 cm Mu	ck (A10)		Deplete	d Matrix (F3)		_	,
Depleted	Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Redox I	Dark Surface (F6)			
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Deplete	d Dark Surface (F7))	³ Indicators of hydro	ophytic vegetation and
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox I	Depressions (F8)		wetland hydrold	ogy must be present,
5 cm Mu	cky Peat or Peat (S	3)				unless disturbe	ed or problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed)						
Туре:						Hydric Soil Present	12 Yes No X
Depth (inc	:hes):					Hydric Soli Fresen	
Remarks:							
HYDROLO	GY						
Wetland Hyd	rology Indicators:						
Primary Indic	ators (minimum of c	one is require	ed; check all that ap	oply)		Secondary Indica	ators (minimum of two required)
Surface \	Water (A1)		Water-Sta	ined Leaves (B9)		Surface Soil	Cracks (B6)
High Wat	ter Table (A2)		Aquatic Fa	auna (B13)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10)
Saturatio	on (A3)		True Aqua	tic Plants (B14)		Dry-Season	Water Table (C2)
Water Ma	arks (B1)		Hydrogen	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)
Sedimen	t Deposits (B2)		Oxidized F	Rhizospheres on Liv	ing Roots	(C3) Saturation V	isible on Aerial Imagery (C9)

 Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) 	 Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13) True Aquatic Plants (B14) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living R Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So Thin Muck Surface (C7) Gauge or Well Data (D9) Other (Explain in Remarks) 	 Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Geomorphic Position (D2) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	X Denth (inches)	
Surface Water Present? Yes No_	Depth (Inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No _ (includes capillary fringe)	X Depth (inches): X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspect	tions), if available:
N/A		
Remarks: No primary or secondary indicators observ	ved	



ORAM v. 5.0 Field Form Quantitative Rating



End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

3

quality or in small amounts of highest quality

Present in moderate or greater amounts

and of highest quality

(at 1/2 2 (ay

	50	[9
ChieEPA Primary H	Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) :	C
SITE NAME/LOCATION		- 7
		7mi
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (R) COO		
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form	COMMENTS	
		uons
MODIFICATIONS: CUlverted	FOL XING	ERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of even (Max of 32). Add total number of significa	ery type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes ant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B.	HHEI
TYPE PI BLDR SLARS [16 pts]	ERCENT TYPE PERCENT	Metric
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts]	LEAF PACKWOODY DEBRIS [3 pts]	ronns
	FINE DETRITUS [3 pts]	Substrate Max = 40
GRAVEL (2-64 mm) [12 pts]	CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt] CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pt]	
SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts]		15
Total of Percentages of		A+B
Bidr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBST		N° Ų
 Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the ma evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road 	aximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of d culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box):	Pool Depth Max = 30
> 30 centimeters [20 pts]	> 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	
22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] 2 > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	V < 5 cm [5 pts]	25
COMMENTS	MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):	
3 BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the	average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	Bankfull
> 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') [25 pts]	> 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]	Width Max=30
A > 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]		
COMMENTS	AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters)	20
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODP	This information must also be completed	
RIPARIAN WIDTH	FLOODPLAIN QUALITY	
L R (Per Bank)	L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Mature Forest Wetland	
	Immature Forest, Shrub or Old	
Narrow <5m	Residential, Park, New Field	
COMMENTS	Fenced Pasture Mining or Construction	
	(union) (Charle ON) Yong how):	
Stream Flowing	Uauony (Uneck UNLY one box):	
Subsurface flow with isolated pools COMMENTS	Is (Interstitial) Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)	-
SINUOSITY (Number of bends pe	er 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box):	
None		
L U.S		
STREAM CRADIENT ESTIMATE		
Flat (0.5 th/100 th)	Moderate (2 #/100 #)	

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):	
QHEI PERFORMED? - 🗍 Yes 🔎 No QHEI Score (If Yes, Attac	h Completed QHEI Form)
DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S) WWH Name:	_ Distance from Evaluated Stream <u>↓↓↓↓↓↓↓</u> _ Distance from Evaluated Stream _ Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED	AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
USGS Quadrangle Name: NW COUNDED NRCS Soil Map P	age: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order
County: 17ank (M Township / City: 6	lumpes
MISCELLANEOUS	
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation: 2/25/22	Quantity:
Photograph Information:	
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 3070	
Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab sample no. or id. a	and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Temp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) $\underline{\checkmark}$ If not, please explain:	
Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:	
BIOTIC EVALUATION	
Performed? (Y/N): (If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher collections optional ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the P	al. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled with the site rimary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) Aquatic Macroinvertebra	ates Observed? (Y/N)
Comments Regarding Biology:	

DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed):

Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location Ag Flig

wooded buffer wooded buffer agfield DZ CLV/Rd Kine



ChieEPA Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) :
SITE NAME/LOCATION
DATE 337 SCORER 2EH COMMENTS
MODIFICATIONS: Channelizel, concrete line, SW inputs
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B. HHEI TYPE BLDR SLABS [16 pts] PERCENT TYPE PERCENT PE
SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES:
 Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check ONLY one box): > 30 centimeters [20 pts] > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts] > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts] NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]
COMMENTS MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box): Bankfull > 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts] > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts] Not the state of the
This information <u>must</u> also be completed
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODF LAIN GOALITY FLOODPLAIN QUALITY RIPARIAN WIDTH FLOODPLAIN QUALITY L R (Per Bank) L R (Most Predominant per Bank) L R Wide >10m Mature Forest, Wetland Conservation Tillage Moderate 5-10m Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Urban or Industrial
Image: Narrow <5m
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box): Stream Flowing Image: Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) Image: Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) COMMENTS COMMENTS
SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box): 3.0 None 1.0 2.0 3.0 0.5 1.5 2.5 >3
STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE

ADDITIONAL STREAM INF	ORMATION (This Information Must Also b	e Completed):	
QHEI PERFORME	ED? - 🗖 Yes 💐 No 🛛 QHEI Score	(If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)	
DOWNSTREAM D WWH Name: CWH Name: EWH Name:	DESIGNATED USE(S) to River	Distance from Evaluated Stream	<u> </u>
MAPPING: ATTAC	CH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTI	RE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION	
USGS Quadrangle Name	W columbos	NRCS Soil Map Page: NRCS Soil Map Stream Order _	
County: From	ntlin Townsh	ip/city. Upper Arlington	
MISCELLANEOU	S		
Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Date of last precipitation:	<u>25/27</u> Quantity: 08	
Photograph Information:		-	
Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N):	Canopy (% open): 100	2D	
Were samples collected for	water chemistry? (Y/N): (Note lab s	sample no. or id. and attach results) Lab Number:	
Field Measures: Temp (*	C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	
Is the sampling reach repres	sentative of the stream (Y/N)	lease explain:	
Additional comments/descrip	ption of pollution impacts:		
BIOTIC EVALUA Performed? (Y/N): Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Observed	(If Yes, Record all observations. Voucher ID number. Include appropriate field data Voucher? (Y/N) 2 Salamanders Ob I? (Y/N) 2 Voucher? (Y/N) Aquation	collections optional. NOTE: all voucher samples must be labeled w sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) oserved? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) c Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N)	rith the site
Comments Regarding Biolog	Jy		
	3		
		OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed)	
DRAWING A	AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's lo	ocation
	residential	P M WERK	
	¥	Jeladad	Ca
FLOW		-2 400	- 0
		1 Under	nd
	nesidential	5N 510	N N

PHWH Form Page - 2

October 24, 2002 Revision



Appendix C | USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool





Coordinates	40.011997, -82.572119
Observation Date	2022-03-02
Elevation (ft)	1094.88
Drought Index (PDSI)	Severe wetness (2022-02)
WebWIMP H ₂ O Balance	Wet Season



Figure and tables made by the Antecedent Precipitation Tool Version 1.0

Written by Jason Deters U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

]	Product		Month Weight	alue	Condition Va	ness Condition	Wetr	erved (in)	Obse	70 th %ile (in)	30 th %ile (in)	30 Days Ending
1	9		3	3		Wet		6.413386	(3.275197	1.933071	2022-03-02
1	4		2	2		Normal		2.220473	2	3.494882	2.189764	2022-01-31
1	3		1	3		Wet		3.736221	3	3.585433	2.555906	2022-01-01
	than Normal - 16	Wetter										Result
_	Days (Antecedent)	(Normal)	hted A Davs (Weid	Elevation A	Distance (mi)	n (ft)	Elevation	dinates	Coor	er Station Name	Weath
	Days (Antecedent)	(Normal)		Weig		Distance (IIII)		Lievation	unaces	001		Weati
	90	11082	4.148		206.757	6.315	3.123	888	2.4819	39.9522, -8	ICKEYE LAKE 1 N	BL
	C	8	0.8		19.749	1.703	5.131	1075	2.5986	39.998, -8	KERSVILLE 3.3 N	KIR
	C	7	1.129		20.733	2.399	4.147	1074	2.6136	39.998, -8	ATASKALA 3.2 E	F
	C	10	1.521		29.919	3.169	4.961	1064	2.5445	40.0527, -8	NVILLE 2.6 WSW	GRA
	C	1	1.841		77.036	3.492	1.916	1171	2.6381	40.013, -8	ASKALA 2.1 ENE	PAT
	C	36	2.437		121.984	4.261	5.864	1216	2.6511	40.024, -8	TASKALA 2.0 NE	PA
	C	32	3.663		14.827	7.881	0.053	1080	2.6265	40.1182, -8	NDRIA 2.1 NNW	ALEX
	C	3	3.866		211.022	5.848	3.858	883	2.4625	40.0228, -8	WARK HEATH AP	NE
	C	1	6.708		39.963	13.691	4.843	1134	-82.52	40.2061,	UTICA 4 WSW	
_	C	173	7.036		259.906	9.911	4.974	834	32.4128	40.0875, -8	WARK WTR WKS	NE

rical	Climato	logy Netv	vork
		Daily 30-Da 30-Ye	Total ay Rolling Total ear Normal Range
MM			
	May 2022	Jun 2022	Jul 2022



Written by Jason Deters U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Weather Station Name	Coordinates	Elevation (ft)	Distance (mi)	Elevation Δ	Weighted Δ	Days Normal	Days Antecedent
LAURELVILLE	39.4706, -82.7344	759.843	39.783	74.526	20.867	11080	90
LANCASTER 4.2 SSE	39.668, -82.5636	800.853	16.394	41.01	8.05	15	0
CIRCLEVILLE	39.6103, -82.9556	674.869	15.234	84.974	8.15	227	0
LANCASTER	39.7156, -82.6072	827.1	18.232	67.257	9.431	29	0
LANCASTER FAIRFIELD CO AP	39.7572, -82.6633	849.081	20.161	89.238	10.872	2	0

— Daily Total - 30-Day Rolling Total 30-Year Normal Range

Jul 2023		Aug Sep 2023 2023
ondition Value	Month Weight	Product
1	3	3
2	2	4
1	1	1
		Drier than Normal - 8



Colliers Engineering & Design is a trusted provider of multi-discipline engineering, design and consulting services providing customized solutions for public and private clients through a network of offices nationwide.

For a full listing of our office locations, please visit colliersengineering.com

1 877 627 3772



Civil/Site • Traffic/Transportation • Governmental • Survey/Geospatial Infrastructure • Geotechnical/Environmental • Telecommunications • Utilities/Energy